

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/569,175	02/22/2006	Johann Thomas Rogatschnig	AT030047	2012	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 03/30/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3727		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/569,175	ROGATSCHNIG, JOHANN THOMAS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Maurina Rachuba	3727		

	Maurina Rachuba	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the inflat rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires and statutory period for reply expires and statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). VOITCE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		.,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).							
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  </li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	rided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected to:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu</li> </ol>							
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	s done \$160T along the position to	acadition for all-	as become				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allower	ce pécausé:				
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 2/25/09						
<ol> <li>Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>							

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment requires new consideration under 35 USC 112. If entered, claim 7 would depend from cancelled claim 6, resulting in a new rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, as claim 7 would be indefinite.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that Taniguchi provides that when the head frame is moved, the cutting characteristics of both types of cutters are changed. It is noted that the head frame does not move, rather, the cutter holders 63 and blade 70 each move individually relative to the head frame, such that when the holder 63 moves the cutting characteristics of the blade 70 remains substantially unchanged. See Taniguchi, column 7, lines 8-16.